arXiv:math/0304382v1 [math.CA] 24 Apr 2003

A FOUR-PARAMETRIC RATIONAL SOLUTION TO PAINLEVÉ VI

Gert Almkvist

February 1, 2008

Introduction

The seminal paper by Okamoto [3] showed how to get a sequence of rational solutions to Painlevé VI if you start with a rational seed solution. But Okamoto did not even write down the Bäcklund transformation. This is understandable since its denominator is of degree 6 in p and q. Today we have Maple to handle such things and the author computed hundreds of examples starting with rational solutions that come from a Riccati equation and can be expressed by hypergeometric functions (see [2]). Soon a pattern emerged. The first τ-function, τ_1, had numerator 1 and τ_2 was also rather simple. An explicit formula for τ_2 was found and proved. As a consequence we have the following main result.

Let m be a positive integer. Define

$$W(r,m,s)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\binom{r+m+1-j}{m-j}\binom{s+m}{j}t^{m-j}$$

Let further

$$T_1=1, T_2=W(r,m,s)$$
$$S_1=1, S_2=W(r-1,m+1,s-1)$$

and

$$T_{n+1}T_{n-1}=(t^2-t)(T_nT_n''-T_n'^{\,2})+(2t-1)T_nT_n'+(n-1)(n+r)T_n^2$$
$$S_{n+1}S_{n-1}=(t^2-t)(S_nS_n''-S'^{\,2})+(2t-1)S_nS_n'+(n-1)(n+r-1)S_n^2$$

for $n\geq 2$. Then the T_n and S_n are polynomials and

$$q_n=t+\frac{t(t-1)}{n+r}\left\{\frac{S_n'}{S_n}-\frac{T_{n+1}'}{T_{n+1}}-\frac{n+s-1}{t}-\frac{n+r-m-s}{t-1}\right\}$$

solves P_{VI}

$$q''=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q-1}\frac{1}{q-t}\right)q'^{\,2}-\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{t-1}+\frac{1}{q-t}\right)q'+$$

$$\frac{q(q-1)(q-t)}{t^2(t-1)^2}\left(\alpha+\frac{\beta t}{q^2}+\frac{\gamma(t-1)}{(q-1)^2}+\frac{\delta t(t-1)}{(q-t)^2}\right)$$

with

$$\alpha=\frac{(n+r)^2}{2}$$

$$\beta=-\frac{(m+s)^2}{2}$$

$$\gamma=\frac{(r-s+1)^2}{2}$$

$$\delta=\frac{1-(n+m)^2}{2}$$

If also r,s are integers then T_n and S_n have integer coefficients, usually growing very fast with n. One can ask for the smallest integer coefficients since $q_n$is independent of multiplicative constants in T_{n+1} and S_n. As a result we get some intriguing conjectures. E.g.

Let $p\geq 3$ be a prime. Define

$$T_1=1, T_2=\frac{W(p,p-1,1)}{p}$$

and $c(n)$ by

$$p^2(p+n)(p+n-2) \text{ if } n\equiv 1 \bmod p$$

$$\frac{(p+n)(p+n-2)}{p} \text{ if } n\equiv 0,2 \bmod p$$

$$(p+n)(p+n-2) \text{ otherwise}$$

Then

$$c(n)T_{n+1}T_{n-1}=(t^2-t)(T_nT_n''-T_n'^{\,2})+(2t-1)T_nT_n'+(n-1)(n+p)T_n^2$$

for $n\geq 2$ has solutions T_n in $\mathbf{Z}$[t] where the coefficients have no common factor >1. We have checked the conjecture for $p=3,5,7,11$ up to $n=20$ or more.

In [1] there are other rational solutions which hopefully can be used in the same way to produce sequences of rational solutions to P_{VI}.

1.Deriving the results.

We follow the notation in Okamoto's paper [3]. Given a solution

$$q=q(\mathbf{b})$$

with parameters

$$\mathbf{b}=(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4)$$

i.e. q satisfies

$$P_{VI}(\frac{(b_3-b_4)^2}{2},-\frac{(b_1+b_2)^2}{2},\frac{(b_1-b_2)^2}{2},-\frac{(b_3+b_4)(b_3+b_4+2)}{2})$$

This equation is equivalent to the system

$$\frac{dq}{dt}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}$$

$$\frac{dp}{dt}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H(\mathbf{b}) = \\ &\frac{q(q-1)(q-t)}{t(t-1)}\left\{p^2-p(\frac{b_1+b_2}{q}+\frac{b_1-b_2}{q-1}+\frac{b_3+b_4}{q-t})+\frac{(b_1+b_3)(b_1+b_4)}{q(q-1)}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

We want to find formulas for the solution

$$q^+=q(\mathbf{b}^+)$$

where

$$\mathbf{b}^+=(b_1,b_2,b_3+1,b_4)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= t(t-1)H(p(t),q(t),t,\mathbf{b})+(b_1b_3+b_1b_4+b_3b_4)t \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(b_1b_2+b_1b_3+b_1b_4+b_2b_3+b_2b_4+b_3b_4) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h^+(t)=h(t)-pq(q-1)+(b_1+b_4)q-\frac{1}{2}(b_1+b_2+b_4)$$

Following the notation of Okamoto [3], p.354 we have

$$A^+=(\frac{dh^+}{dt}+(b_3+1)^2)(\frac{dh^+}{dt}+b_4^2)$$

$$B^+=t(t-1)\frac{d^2h^+}{dt^2}+(b_1+b_2+b_3+b_4)\frac{dh^+}{dt}-$$

$$(b_1b_2(b_3+1)+b_1b_2b_4+b_1(b_3+1)b_4+b_2(b_3+1)b_4)$$

$$C^+=2(t\frac{dh^+}{dt}-h^+)-\{b_1b_2+b_1(b_3+1)+b_1b_4+b_2(b_3+1)+b_2b_4+(b_3+1)b_4\}$$

Then by (2.5) in Okamoto we have the Bäcklund transformation

$$q^+=\frac{1}{2A^+}\left\{(b_3+1+b_4)B^++(\frac{dh^+}{dt}-(b_3+1)b_4)C^+\right\}=\frac{U}{V}$$

where

$$U = t\left\{p(q-1)(q-t) - (b_3+1)(t-1) - (b_1+b_4)(q-1)\right\}\cdot$$

$$\{pq(q-1)(q-t) - (b_1+b_4)q(q-1) - (b_3+1)q(t-1) + b_1t(q-1) + b_2(q-t)\}$$

and

$$V = (q-t)\left\{\begin{array}{c} p^2q(q-1)(q-t)^2 - p(q-t)(2(b_1+b_4)q^2 - (b_1+b_2+2b_4+2b_1t)q + \\ +(b_1+b_2)t) + (b_1^2-(b_3+1)^2)t^2 + (b_1b_2+b_1b_4+b_2b_4+(b_3+1)^2)t \\ +(b_1+b_4)^2q^2 - (b_1+b_4)(2b_1t+b_2+b_4) \end{array}\right\}$$

The $\tau-$function is defined by (up to a multiplicative constant)

$$H(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\log(\tau(t))$$

After doing the $+$-construction n times we obtain

$$\mathbf{b}_n = (b_1, b_2, b_3+n, b_4)$$

$$q_n$$

$$H_n$$

$$\tau_n$$

We have the Toda equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}(t(t-1)\frac{d}{dt}\log(\tau_n)) + (b_1+b_3+n)(b_3+b_4+n) = c(n)\frac{\tau_{n+1}\tau_{n-1}}{\tau_n^2}$$

where $c(n)$ is a constant which can be chosen to be 1.

Let σ_n be the n-th τ-function obtained by replacing $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ by $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4+1)$. Then by (4.16) in Okamoto we have

$$q_n = t + \frac{t(t-1)}{b_3+n-b_4}\left\{\frac{d}{dt}\log(\sigma_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\log(\tau_{n+1})\right\}$$

Now we choose as seed solution the rational function (see [2])

$$q = t + \frac{t(t-1)}{r}\frac{z'}{z}$$

where

$$z =_2 F_1(r, -m, s; t)$$

μ positive integer, which satisfies $P_{VI}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ with

$$\alpha = \frac{r^2}{2}$$

$$\beta = -\frac{(m+s)^2}{2}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{(r-s+1)^2}{2}$$

$$\delta = \frac{1-m^2}{2}$$

This corresponds to

$$b_1 = \frac{m+r+1}{2}$$

$$b_2 = \frac{m-r+2s-1}{2}$$

$$b_3 = \frac{r+m-1}{2}$$

$$b_4 = \frac{m-r-1}{2}$$

Observe that

$$b_1 = b_3 + 1$$

We will use that q satisfies the Riccati equation (see [2])

$$q' = \frac{1}{t(t-1)} \left\{ (b_4 - b_3)q^2 + (2b_1 t + b_2 - b_4 - 1)q - (b_1 + b_2)t \right\}$$

Substituting $b_3 = b_1 - 1$ in the formidable expression for q^+ , it collapses to

$$q^+ = \frac{t(q-1)\left\{pq(q-1)(q-t) - (b_1+b_4)q^2 + (2b_1+b_4+b_2)q - (b_1+b_2)t\right\}}{(q-t)\left\{pq(q-1)(q-t) - (b_1+b_4)q^2 + (2b_1 t + b_2 + b_4)q - (b_1+b_2)t\right\}}$$

By the Hamiltonian equations we get

$$p = \frac{t(t-1)q'}{2q(q-1)(q-t)} + \frac{1}{2}\left\{ \frac{b_1+b_2}{q} + \frac{b_1-b_2}{q-1} + \frac{b_3+b_4}{q-t} \right\} = \frac{b_1+b_4}{q-t}$$

after using the Riccati equation for q . Substituting this into q^+ we obtain

$$q_1 = q^+ = \frac{(b_1+b_2)t(q-1)}{(2b_1 t + b_2 - b_1)q - (b_1+b_2)t}$$

and

$$H_1 = H^+ = H(t) - \frac{pq(q-1) - (b_1+b_4)(q-t)}{t(t-1)} =$$

$$-\frac{(b_1+b_2)(b_1+b_4)}{t} - \frac{(b_1-b_2)(b_1+b_4)}{t-1}$$

which gives

$$\tau_1 = \exp(\int H_1 dt) = \frac{1}{t^{(b_1+b_2)(b_1+b_4)}(t-1)^{(b_1-b_2)(b_1+b_4)}}$$

To start the induction we need also to find τ_2.Since we know σ_1(replace b_4 by b_4+1 in τ_1), namely

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{t^{(b_1+b_2)(b_1+b_4+1)}(t-1)^{(b_1-b_2)(b_1+b_4+1)}}$$

we can use the formula for q_1

$$q_1 = t + \frac{t(t-1)}{b_3-b_4+1}\left\{\frac{d}{dt}\log(\sigma_1) - \frac{d}{dt}\log(\tau_2)\right\}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log(\tau_2) \quad = \quad -\frac{(b_1+b_2)(b_1+b_4+1)}{t} - \frac{(b_1-b_2)(b_1+b_4+1)}{t-1} - \frac{(b_1-b_4)(q_1-t)}{t(t-1)}$$

Inspired by numerous experiments we put

$$\tau_n = \frac{T_n}{t^{(b_1+b_4)(b_1+b_2+n-1)}(t-1)^{(b_1+b_4)(b_1-b_2+n-1)}}$$

so $T_1 = 1$. We will later show that the T_n are polynomials. It follows that

$$\frac{T_2'}{T_2} = \frac{b_4-b_2}{t} + \frac{b_4+b_2}{t-1} - \frac{(b_1-b_4)(q_1-t)}{t(t-1)}$$

In order to compute T_2 we have to use the explicit formula for

$$q = t + \frac{t(t-1)}{r}\frac{z'}{z}$$

where

$$z =_2 F_1(r,-m,s,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j \frac{\binom{r+j-1}{j}\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{s+j-1}{j}}t^j$$

Definition:

$$W(r,m,s) = \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j \binom{r+m+1-j}{m-j}\binom{s+m}{j}t^{m-j}$$

Lemma 1: We have the identity

$$(s-r-1)z' + (r+m+1)(tz'+rz) = (-1)^m \frac{(s-1)!m!r(r+1)}{(s+m-1)!}W(r,m,s)$$

Proof: This is just an identity between binomial coefficients that is easily verified.

Expressing everything in the parameters r, m, s and the function z we have

$$\frac{T_2'}{T_2} = \frac{b_4 - b_2}{t} + \frac{b_4 + b_2}{t-1} - \frac{(b_1 - b_4)(q_1 - t)}{t(t-1)} =$$

$$-\frac{s}{t} + \frac{m - r + s - 1}{t-1} - \frac{r+1}{t(t-1)} \frac{r(m+s)z - (r+m+1)t((t-1)z' + rz)}{(s-r-1)z' + (r+m+1)(tz' + rz)} =$$

$$\frac{\{(s-r-1)z' + (r+m+1)(z' + rz)\}'}{(s-r+1)z' + (r+m+1)(z' + rz)}$$

after some computations using the hypergeometric equation

$$t(1-t)z'' + (s - (r-m+1)t)z' + mrz = 0$$

Hence we have shown

Proposition 1.. We have (the constant is of no importance)

$$T_2 = W(r, m, s)$$

Recall that σ_m is obtained from τ_m by the change

$$b_4 \longrightarrow b_4 + 1$$

In the new parameters this corresponds to

$$r \longrightarrow r - 1$$

$$m \longrightarrow m + 1$$

$$s \longrightarrow s - 1$$

We define S_n by

$$\sigma_n = \frac{S_n}{t^{(b_1+b_4)(b_1+b_2+n-1)}(t-1)^{(b_1+b_4)(b_1-b_2+n-1)}}$$

Then we have

$$S_1 = 1$$

and

$$S_2 = W(r-1, m+1, s-1)$$

The Toda equation for τ_n and the corresponding one for σ_n imply that for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$T_{n+1}T_{n-1} = (t^2 - t)(T_n T_n'' - {T_n'}^2) + (2t-1)T_n T_n' + (n-1)(n+r)T_n^2$$

$$S_{n+1}S_{n-1} = (t^2 - t)(S_n S_n'' - {S_n'}^2) + (2t-1)S_n S_n' + (n-1)(n+r-1)S_n^2$$

Thus we obtain our main result

Theorem: For n,m positive integers we have that

$$q_n = t + \frac{t(t-1)}{n+r}\left\{\frac{S_n'}{S_n} - \frac{T_{n+1}'}{T_{n+1}} - \frac{n+s-1}{t} - \frac{n+r-m-s}{t-1}\right\}$$

satisfies

$$P_{VI}(\frac{(n+r)^2}{2}, -\frac{(m+s)^2}{2}, \frac{(r-s+1)^2}{2}, \frac{1-(n+m)^2}{2})$$

The T_n and S_n are polynomials.

Proof: To show that the T_n and S_n are polynomials we refer to the paper [1] where the more general difference equation

$$P_{n+1}P_{n-1} = f(x)(P_nP_n'' - {P_n'}^2) + g(x)P_nP_n' + h_n(x)P_n^2$$

is considered. If

$$h_n(x) = n^2 + an + b + p(x)$$

then

$$-2h_{n-1}(x) + h_n(x) = -h_{n-2}(x) + 2$$

and it follows that the condition

$$ff'' - {f'}^2 + 3f'g - 2fg' - 2g^2 + 2f = 0$$

is sufficient for the P_n to be polynomials. One checks that $f(x) = x^2 - x$ and $g(x) = 2x - 1$ satisfy this relation.

We have to show that two consecutive T_n are relatively prime. If not then T_n and T_{n-1} have a common zero, say t_0. It follows from the difference equation that then also $T_n'(t_0) = 0$, i.e. t_0 is a double root of T_n .

Assume first that $t_0 \neq 0, 1$. We have

$$h(t) = t(t-1)H(t) + \sigma'(\mathbf{b})t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\mathbf{b})$$

where $\sigma(\mathbf{b})$ is the second symmetric function of $b1, b2, b3, b4$ and $\sigma'(\mathbf{b})$ is the same of $b1, b3, b4$. Assume that

$$h(t) = \frac{c}{(t-t_0)^k} + \text{lower order terms}$$

But $h(t)$ satifies the differential equation

$$t^2(t-1)^2h'{h''}^2 + \{(2h - (2t-1)h')h' + b_1b_2b_3b_4\}^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{4}(h' + b_j^2)$$

which gives after looking at the highest order terms

$$k = 1$$

$$c = t_0(t_0 - 1)$$

It follows that

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{t - t_0} + \text{lower order terms}$$

and that after integration that t_0 is a simple zero of $\tau(t)$. Contradiction.

To treat the case $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ we consider the generic case, i.e.we consider r and s as indeterminates. One sees that $T_n(0)$ and $T_n(1)$ are nonzero polynomials of r and s.

We can also find a determinantal formula for the T_n. Define

$$\widetilde{\tau}_n = (t(t-1)^{\frac{n(n+r+1)}{2}} T_{n+1}$$

Then we have

$$\widetilde{\tau}_0 = 1$$

$$\widetilde{\tau}_1 = W(r, m, s) \cdot (t(t-1))^{\frac{r+2}{2}}$$

and

$$\delta^2 \log(\widetilde{\tau}_n) = \frac{\widetilde{\tau}_{n+1}\widetilde{\tau}_{n-1}}{\widetilde{\tau}_n^{\,2}}$$

where

$$\delta = t(t-1)\frac{d}{dt}$$

Darboux's formula gives

$$\widetilde{\tau}_n = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{\tau}_1 & \delta\widetilde{\tau}_1 & \cdots & \delta^{n-1}\widetilde{\tau}_1 \\ \delta\widetilde{\tau}_1 & \delta^2\widetilde{\tau}_1 & \cdots & \delta^n\widetilde{\tau}_1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \delta^{n-1}\widetilde{\tau}_1 & \delta^n\widetilde{\tau}_1 & \cdots & \delta^{2n-2}\widetilde{\tau}_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

This formula should possibly be useful in proving the following

Conjecture 1. Given

$$T_1 = 1$$

$$T_2 = W(r, m, s)$$

and

$$T_{n+1}T_{n-1} = T_n^2 + \frac{1}{(n-1)(n+r)}\left\{(t^2-t)(T_nT_n'' - T_n'^{\,2}) + (2t-1)T_nT_n'\right\}$$

Then

$$q_n = \frac{m+s}{n+r}\cdot\frac{T_n(r,m+1,s)\cdot T_{n+1}(r-1,m,s-1)}{T_{n+1}(r,m,s)\cdot T_n(r-1,m+1,s-1)}$$

solves

$$P_{VI}\left(\frac{(n+r)^2}{2}, -\frac{(m+s)^2}{2}, \frac{(r-s+1)^2}{2}, \frac{1-(n+m)^2}{2}\right)$$

The discriminant of T_n defined above factors nicely as a polynomial in r and s .We make the

Conjecture 2. Define

$$h(k,j) = kj^2 - \frac{j^3+2j}{3}$$

Then

$$discrim(T_n(r,m,s)) = const\cdot$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}\left\{(r+n+m-j)(s+j)(s-r+m-1-j)\right\}^{h(n-1,j)}$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}\left\{(r+1+j)(s+n+m-1-j)(s-r-n+j)\right\}^{h(m,j)}$$

$$\prod_{j=m}^{n-1}\left\{(r+1+j)(s+m+m-1-j)(s-r-n+j)\right\}^{h(j,m)}$$

The degree of the discriminant is

$$3\binom{m(n-1)}{2}$$

Example 1. We consider the special case when $s = r+2$. Then one finds that

$$T_n(t) = (t-1)^{m(n-2)}\check{T}_n(t)$$

and

$$S_n(t) = (t-1)^{(m+1)(n-2)}\check{S}_n(t)$$

where

$$\deg(\check{T}_n) = m$$

and

$$\deg(\check{S}_n) = m + 1$$

One can find explicit formulas for $\check{T}_n$ and $\check{S}_n$. Define

$$V(a, m, b, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{b} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{n+m+a}{b-j} \binom{a+j}{j} t^j$$

Then we have the following result:

Proposition 2. We have

$$q_n = \frac{V(r+1, m, m+1, n) V(r, m, m, n)}{V(r+1, m, m, n) V(r, m, m+1, n)}$$

solves

$$P_{VI}(\frac{(n+r)^2}{2}, -\frac{(m+r+2)^2}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-(n+m)^2}{2})$$

2.Some numbertheoretic conjectures.

Experiments suggest that the T_n and S_n contain constant factors depending on r .

Conjecture 3. Given

$$T_1 = 1,\ T_2 = m! W(r, m, s)$$

$$S_1 = 1,\ S_2 = (m+1)! W(r-1, m+1, s-1)$$

Then define T_n and S_n for $n \geq 3$ by

$$\frac{d}{dt}(t(t-1)\frac{d}{dt}\log(T_n)) = (n-1)(n+r)\left\{\frac{T_{n+1}T_{n-1}}{T_n^2} - 1\right\}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(t(t-1)\frac{d}{dt}\log(S_n)) = (n-1)(n+r-1)\left\{\frac{S_{n+1}S_{n-1}}{S_n^2} - 1\right\}$$

for $n \geq 2$. Then T_n and S_n are in $\mathbf{Z}[r, s, t]$.

In special cases one gets some remarkable difference equations. We give some examples.

Example 2. Define $c(n)$ by

$$9(n+1)(n+3) \text{ if } n \equiv 1 \; mod \; 3$$

$$\frac{(n+1)(n+3)}{3} \text{ otherwise}$$

Let further

$$T_1 = 1, \; T_2 = \frac{W(3,2,1)}{3} = 5t^2 - 5t + 1$$

$$S_1 = 1, \; S_2 = W(2,3,0) = (2t-1)(10t^2 - 10t + 1)$$

and

$$c(n)T_{n+1}T_{n-1} = (t^2 - t)(T_n T_n'' - T_n'^{\,2}) + (2t-1)T_n T_n' + (n-1)(n+3)T_n^2$$

$$(n+2)^2 S_{n+1}S_{n-1} = (t^2 - t)(S_n S_n'' - S_n'^{\,2}) + (2t-1)S_n S_n' + (n-1)(n+2)S_n^2$$

for $n \geq 2$. Then we conjecure that all T_n and S_n have integer coefficients and $c(n)$ (and $(n+2)^2$) is best possible, i.e. the coefficients in the polynomials have no common factor other than one.

Example 3. Define $c(n)$ by

$$\frac{(n+2)(n+4)}{8} \text{ if } n \text{ is even}$$

$$4(n+2)(n+4) \text{ if } n \equiv 3 \bmod 4$$

$$16(n+2)(n+4) \text{ if } n \equiv 1 \bmod 4$$

Let further

$$T_1 = 1$$

$$T_2 = \frac{W(4,3,1)}{4} = (2t-1)(7t^2 - 7t + 1)$$

and

$$c(n)T_{n+1}T_{n-1} = (t^2 - t)(T_n T_n'' - T_n'^{\,2}) + (2t-1)T_n T_n' + (n-1)(n+4)T_n^2$$

for $n \geq 2$. Then we conjecture that T_n has integer coefficients and $c(n)$ is best possible.

Example 4. Define $c(n)$ by

$$25(n+3)(n+5) \text{ if } n \equiv 1 \bmod 5$$

$$\frac{(n+3)(n+5)}{5} \text{ if } n \equiv 0, 2 \bmod 5$$

$$(n+3)(n+5) \text{ otherwise}$$

Let further

$$T_1 = 1$$

$$T_2 = \frac{W(5,4,1)}{5} = 42t^4 - 84t^3 + 56t^2 - 14t + 1$$

and

$$c(n)T_{n+1}T_{n-1} = (t^2-t)(T_nT_n'' - T_n'^2) + (2t-1)T_nT_n' + (n-1)(n+5)T_n^2$$

We conjecture that T_n has integer coefficients and $c(n)$ is best possible.

Based on these examples we make the

Conjecture 4. Let p be a prime ≥ 3. Define $c(n)$ by

$$p^2(p+n)(p+n-2) \text{ if } n \equiv 1 \bmod p$$

$$\frac{(p+n)(p+n-2)}{p} \text{ if } n \equiv 0, 2 \bmod p$$

$$(p+n)(p+n-2) \text{ otherwise}$$

Then

$$c(n)T_{n+1}T_{n-1} = (t^2-t)(T_nT_n'' - T_n'^2) + (2t-1)T_nT_n' + (n-1)(n+p)T_n^2$$

for $n \geq 2$ has polynomial T_n with integer coefficients and $c(n)$ is best possible.

We have checked the conjecture for

$$p = 3, 5, 7, 11$$

and for n up to 20 (at least).

Final remark. After this paper was finished the author found the polynomials T_m in [4] which up to a factor and some notation agree with our T_n . There is even a conjectured explicit formula for them (Conjecture 3.5).

References:

1. G.Almkvist, Polynomial solutions to difference equations connected to Painlevé II-VI, CA/0208244.

2. G.Almkvist, Some rational solutions to Painlevé VI.

3. K.Okamoto, Studies on the Painlevé equations I, Sixth equation P_{VI},Ann. Mat. Pura 146 (1987), 337-381.

4. M.Noumi, S.Okada, K.Okamoto, H.Umemura, Special polynomials associated with the Painlevé equations II in: Integrable systems and algebraic geometry, Kobe-Kyoto 1997, World Science Publishing 1998.